

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present Application. Claims 1, 8-9, 11, 25, 32-33, 36, 49, and 54-62 have been amended herein. Care has been exercised to introduce no new matter. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-9, 11, 25-26, 28, 30-33, 36, 49, 51, and 53-68 are pending and are in condition for allowance.

Applicant would like to draw Examiner's attention to PTOL-326, which was mailed with the Office Action on 7/23/08. Claims 3, 5, 27, 29, 35, 50, and 52 were listed as being withdrawn. These claims were previously canceled, so the record should be corrected to show them as being canceled.

Rejections based on 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

Claims 1-2, 4, 6-9, 11, 25-26, 28, 30-33, 36, 49, 51 and 53-68 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by "Windows XP in a Nutshell", Safari Books Online, published April 2002. Examiner's rejection of said claims is primarily based upon the assertion that the Control Panel of "WindowsXP" discloses Applicant's claimed feature of a task library. Applicant respectfully traverses said rejection for the following reasons.

Applicant has amended certain claims from the features "task data" and "task library" to the claimed features of "reference information" and "reference information database," respectively to more clearly claim Applicant's invention. Currently amended independent claims 1, 25, and 61 include the method and system wherein a component and its corresponding reference information are simultaneously installed on the computer. The prior art of record only discloses a control panel, where the control panel is defined as, "The Control Panel has no settings of its own; it's merely a container for any number of options windows, commonly called applets ..." WindowsXP does not disclose how or when the reference information is loaded with

regard to the corresponding component(s). The prior art of record does not disclose a method and/or system wherein a component and its corresponding reference information are simultaneously installed on the computer. Therefore, independent claims 1, 25, and 61 are now allowable over the prior art of record; dependent claims 2, 4, 6-9, 11, 26, 28, 30-33, 36, and 63-66 are also allowable over the prior art of record for at least the reasons stated above. Applicant respectfully requests the withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-2, 4, 6-9, 11, 25-26, 28, 30-33, 36, 61, and 63-66 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by "Windows XP in a Nutshell."

With regard to amended independent claim 49, Examiner asserts that the Control Panel as described in Windows XP discloses the claimed feature of a task library. Examiner also asserts that the Control Panel as described in Windows XP discloses the claimed feature of a second component. It would not be possible for Windows XP to carry out the claimed features of, "... retrieving reference information from a reference information database [i.e. Control Panel], the database [i.e. Control Panel] comprising a plurality of tasks implemented by a plurality of components installed on the computer ... [and] displaying the retrieved reference information to a user within the context of the second component [i.e. Control Panel]." To summarize, the Control Panel could not comprise tasks implemented by the Control Panel. Examiner used this same logic to reject amended independent claim 62. Therefore, amended independent claims 49 and 62 are now allowable over the prior art of record; dependent claims 51, 53-60, and 67-68 are also allowable over the prior art of record for at least the reasons stated above. Applicant respectfully requests the withdrawal of the rejection of claims 49, 51, 53-60, 62, and 67-68 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by "Windows XP in a Nutshell."

CONCLUSION

For at least the reasons stated above, claims 1-2, 4, 6-9, 11, 25-26, 28, 30-33, 36, 49, 51, and 53-68 are now in condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the pending rejections and allowance of the claims. If any issues remain that would prevent issuance of this application, the Examiner is urged to contact the undersigned – 202/783-8400 or nberezny@shb.com (such communication via email is herein expressly granted) – to resolve the same. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any amount required to Deposit Account No. 19-2112.

Respectfully submitted,

/NEMA BEREZNY/

Nema Berezny
Reg. No. 57,706

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.
2555 Grand Blvd.
Kansas City, MO 64108-2613
816-474-6550